Ovid: Ars amatoria. Book I. Edited with an introduction and commentary by A.S. Hollis. Clarendon Press: Oxford University Press 1977. XXIV, 171 p. £ 5.-.

Ovid's Ars amatoria is undoubtedly among the most suitable works of Latin poetry for inclusion in academic courses, not only because of its entertainment value, but also because of its interesting stratified structure and the colourful description of the life of Augustan Rome. Therefore, it is hard to understand why we have had to so long wait for the first commentary on the work. However, while we are greatly indebted to Hollis for fulfilling this desideratum, we should point out that commentaries on the two last books are still missing, and express our concern that Hollis does not indicate in his preface any intention of completing the work. Ars amatoria must be read as a whole, and one would perhaps have expected the commentary of the whole work to be published in a single volume.

The book itself is well worth recommending. It contains a clear and up-to-date introduction and an intelligent, even humorous commentary in the best traditions of English literary criticism. The text is taken from the still definitive Oxford edition of Kenney, no doubt a wise solution, although one would in this case have prefered an unaltered text; the few corrections make one wonder whether we must now refer to this as a Hollis edition. With regard to the commentary, the scarcity or even total lack of linguistic, partly also metrical, notes is obvious and hardly justifiable; in this respect, the work does not fulfil the needs of most students. There is an illustration of four plates, which I consider quite inadequate for a work of this length; alternative would have been to include only references to standard works, from which the reader could find the relevant pictures. Jorma Kaimio

M.S. Silk: Interaction in Poetic Imagery. With special reference to early Greek poetry Cambridge University Press 1974. XIV, 263 p. £ 7.-.

Although the author of this treatise is lecturer in Classics at King's College, London, his approach to poetic imagery is unconventional conforming rather to modern literary and linguistic theory. Potential readers with the classicists' traditional dislike of theory and abstractions should be warned that this is an extremely difficult book. But those who seriously try to grapple with it will find it rewarding in many respects. Its inter-disciplinary scope and the learning and precision with which its author deals with his task are worth special notice. Silk's main contribution is to the understanding of the nature and function of metaphor, simile and other forms of imagery, but his discussion touches upon a wide register of topics, from assonance to theory of style. His Greek material consists chiefly of lyric poetry from Archilochus to Aeschylus, a period exceptionally rich in imagery and hence suited for a concentrated study of its various kinds.

Silk analyzes imagery in terms of the 'interaction' of what, with a new application of an idea originally introduced by I.A. Richards, he calls the 'tenor' and the 'vehicle' of metaphors - broadly speaking the two aspects of characterization which are inherent in every kind of comparison. He is careful to move on the linguistic ('terminological') and not the conceptual level, which is of course an up-to-date and logically safer process, but one which complicates the procedure considerably. It is an approach which reveals different dynamic features of poetic imagery, but the method will require much testing before its usefulness for literary criticism and philology can be fully appreciated.

H. Thesleff

Quality and Pleasure in Latin Poetry. Edited by Tony Woodman & David West. Cambridge University Press 1974. 166 p. \$ 3.90.

In their prologue the editors call this book a selection of essays on the poetry of the Augustan age, with the objective of illustrating various modern critical approaches to ancient literature. According to them, the book is designed for both scholars and students alike.

Apart from the inclusion of a few interesting details, the selection has, however, nothing particularly new to offer. Perhaps the most fruitful contribution is Gordon Williams' attempt to interpret Virgil's Fourth Eclogue in its historical context. Lyne's starting-point in his essay on the Georgics is very similar but his conclusions are correct only in part. Almost all contributors deserve praise for their attempts to avoid the dangers inherent in subjective criticism.

For the rest, the value of the book is slight (the editors themselves seem ready to admit this in their rather apologetic epilogue). For the scholar, there are few real stimulants; Cairn's study of Catullus' Alexandrianism and to some extent Kenney's Lucretius, too, are even boringly mechanistic. And the student who would benefit by the book must combine a good basic knowledge of Augustan poetry with a deep interest in it. - In brief, I find this method of publication unprofitable.

Marianna Tyni

*

Thesaurus linguae Latinae Vol. VII,2 fasc. X, linearius - locus; Vol. IX,2 fasc. V, onocrotalus - oppugnatio. BSB B.G. Teubner Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig 1976. Sp. 1441-1600; 641-800. Je Band M 29.-.

Im Jahre 1976 sind vom lateinischen Thesaurus zwei Lieferungen erschienen. Sie enthalten beide eine Menge hochwichtige Wörter und sind deswegen eine sehr willkommene Bereicherung für die lexikographische Forschung. Wir möchten hier nebst einigen Einzelbemerkungen kurz zwei generelle Gesichtspunkte anführen: 1) Unter Inschriftenpublikationen, aus deren Zitierweise die geographische Herkunft der Inschrift nicht hervorgeht, wäre es gut, den Fundort anzugeben;